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* Clean codes for “sesIVorDV”
  + Why: RA’s used slightly different versions of codes for this section
  + Original:
    - Could indicate either IV or DV if “Yes” was answered. “No” was reserved for studies that did not examine SES.
  + Changes:
    - “Yes” replaced with only “IV” or “DV”
    - “No” or “\*SES not found” replaced with “Did not study SES”
* Clean codes for “sesMainEffect”
  + Why: RA’s used slightly different versions of codes for this section
  + Original:
    - RA’s used slightly different capitalization (e.g., “yes” vs. “Yes”)
    - Some answered “No” for studies that did not examine SES
  + Changes:
    - Capitalized all codes (i.e., from “yes” to “Yes”)
    - For studies that did not examine SES (see “sesIVorDV”), removed any codes given (e.g., from “No” to “”).
* Clean codes for “sesMainInterest”
  + Why:
  + Original:
  + Changes:
* Highlight papers to revisit accuracy of codes
  + Why: Some codes had inconsistencies (e.g., “No” for “sesIVorDV” with other responses answered affirmatively)
  + Original:
    - Not highlighted
  + Changes:
    - Highlighted entire row in Yellow 2